



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/405,9	40 09/27/9	9 HILLMAN		J	PF-0346-1-
·		HM22/0911	\neg	EXAMINER	
LUCY J BILLINGS ESQ			EWOLDT.G		
INCYTE P	HARMACEUTICA	_S INC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	TER DRIVE O CA 94304			1644	6
				DATE MAILED:	09/11/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)

09/405,940

Hillman et al.

Examiner

Gerald Ewoldt

Group Art Unit 1644

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 27, 1999	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
☐ This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
\square See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _approved _disapproved.		
$\hfill\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u			
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	the priority documents have been		
received.			
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb			
received in this national stage application from the Ir *Certified copies not received:	nternational bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).		
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	3		
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
Fay transmittal form.			
,			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	IE FOLLOWING PAGES		

Serial No. 09/405,940 Art Unit 1644

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Paula Hutzell, Ph.D., Supervisory Patent Examiner at Paula.Hutzell@uspto.gov or 703-308-4315. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1-2 and 13, drawn to a substantially purified T-cell receptor beta protein, variants, and pharmaceutical compositions, classified in Class 424, subclass 185.1 and Class 530, subclass 380.
- II. Claims 14, drawn to an antibody, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.1.
- III. Claim 15, drawn to a purified agonist of the polypeptide of claim 1, classified in Class 424, subclass 185.1 and Class 530, subclass 350.
- IV. Claim 16, drawn to a purified antagonist of the polypeptide of claim 1, classified in Class 424, subclass 185.1 and Class 530, subclass 350.
- V. Claim 17, drawn to method of treating cancer with the pharmaceutical composition of claim 13, classified in Class 424, subclass 185.1.
- VI. Claims 18 and 21, drawn to method of treating cancer with the agonist of claim 15, classified in Class 424, subclass 185.1.
- VII. Claims 19, drawn to method of treating an autoimmune disorder with the antagonist of claim 16, classified in Class 424, subclass 185.1
- VIII. Claim 22, drawn to method of detecting a polynucleotide comprising hybridization, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.

Serial No. 09/405,940 Art Unit 1644

IX. Claim 22, drawn to a method of screening a library, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.

 $\rm X.\ Claim\ 23,\ drawn\ to\ a\ library,\ classified\ in\ Class\ 530,\ subclasses\ 350\ and\ 387.1.$

- 3. Invention I-IV and IX are different products. They are distinct because their structures and/or modes of action are different. Inventions II and IV are mutually exclusive. Therefore, Invention I-IV and IX are patentably distinct.
- 4. The proteins of Invention I are related to the antibodies of Invention II by virtue of being antigens and the antibodies they bind. Protein antigens and antibodies are physically and functionally distinct chemical entities. In addition to serving as antigens, the peptides may also serve as receptor ligands, hormones, or in assays for the identification same, in addition to pharmaceutical compositions as claimed.
- 5. Inventions I/III/IV and V/VI/VII are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product $(\text{M.P.E.P.}\ \S\ 806.05(h))$.

In the instant case, the products as claimed can be used in materially different processes, such as affinity purification or as antigens for antibody production.

5. Inventions IX and X are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. \S 806.05(h)).

In the instant case, the library as claimed can be used in materially different processes, such as for subtractive hybridization before screening a different library.

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Serial No. 09/405,940 Art Unit 1644

- 7. Should Applicant elect either Group IX or X, Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a **specific** type of library, such as one of those listed in claim 23.
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The different types of libraries are different because they encompass different types of proteins and agents with different functions, characteristics, and properties, e.g., a random peptide library is significantly different than a protein expression library. Therefore, the species of Groups IX and X are independent and patentable over one another.

- 9. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Gerald Ewoldt whose telephone number is (703) 308-9805. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 5:30 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 September 7, 2000 Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D. Primary Examiner
Technology Center 1600